Validity and Reliability

A more complete explanation of validity and reliability is provided at the accompanying web page for this topic.

See: **Validity and Reliability**
Validity and Reliability

1. Validity
   • The extent to which a “test” measures what it is supposed to measure.
     • Non-empirical evaluations.
     • Empirical evaluations.

2. Reliability
   • The extent to which a “test” provides consistent measurement.
     • Negatively affected by measurement error.
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3. Importance

- Without validity and reliability one cannot test an hypothesis.
- Without hypothesis testing, one cannot support a theory.
- Without a supported theory one cannot explain why events occur.
- Without adequate explanation one cannot develop effective material and non-material technologies, including programs designed for positive social change.
Validity

1. Non-Empirical Validity

• “Does the concept seem to measure what it is supposed to measure?”
• This evaluation depends entirely upon the *intersubjective* opinion of the community of scholars.
• It is not entirely subjective because it represents the consensus opinion of many subjective individual opinions.
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2. Empirical

- Data analysis evaluation of validity.
- “Does the concept predict another concept that it is supposed to predict?”
- This evaluation depends upon empirical evaluation, using either quantitative or qualitative data.
- Empirical validity does not imply content validity.
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3. Outcomes of Content Validity Assessment

- If the community of scholars approves the content validity of a measure, then it is accepted for further use.
- If the community of scholars rejects the content validity of a measure, then it must be redesigned by the researcher(s).
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4. Outcomes of Empirical Validity Assessment

• Assessments of construct validity imply three types of hypotheses:
  1. Do the items used to measure the construct have a statistically significant correlation with the construct? [Construct Validity]
  2. Does the construct have a statistically significant correlation with related constructs? [Concurrent Validity]
  3. Does the construct statistically predict another construct? [Predictive Validity]
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5. Outcomes of Empirical Validity Assessment

• If the null hypotheses are rejected, then the empirical results lend support for the empirical validity of the measure.

• If one or more null hypotheses are not rejected, then:
  1. The measure of the construct might be invalid.
  2. The measure of the predicted construct might be invalid.
  3. The theory linking the two constructs might be flawed.
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1. Measurement Error

• Measurement errors are random events that distort the true measurement of a concept.
• The average of all measurement errors is equal to zero.
• The distribution of measurement error is incorporated within the standard error.
• This distribution has the form of a bell-shaped curve, identical to the distribution of the standard deviation about a mean.
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2. Measurement Error and Hypothesis Testing

- With a small standard error, even a weak relationship between the independent and dependent variables can be judged as statistically significant.
- With a large standard error, even a strong relationship between the independent and dependent variables can be judged as not statistically significant.
- Therefore, it is important to reduce measurement error as much as possible.
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3. Achieving Reliability

• Concepts must be defined as precisely as possible.
• Researchers must develop good indicators of these concepts. This task sometimes requires much work and time to create a valid and reliable measuring instrument.
• Data must be collected with as much care as possible.
4. Assessing Reliability

- Test-Retest Procedure.
  - Advantages: Intuitive appeal.
  - Disadvantages: History, Maturation, Cueing.

- Alternative Forms Procedure.
  - Advantages: Little cueing.
  - Disadvantages: History, Maturation.
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4. Assessing Reliability (Continued)

- Split-Halves Procedure.
  - Advantages: No History, Maturation, or Cueing.
  - Disadvantages: Different splits yield different assessments of reliability.
- Internal Consistency Procedure.
  - Advantages: No History, Maturation, or Cueing.
  - Disadvantages: Number of items can affect assessment of reliability.
Errors that Affect Validity and Reliability

1. Measurement Error
   • Random, but can be reduced with care.

2. Sampling Error
   • Errors in selecting a sample for study.

3. Random Error
   • Things that are unknown, unanticipated, uncontrollable.

4. Data Analysis Errors
   • Type-I: False assumption of causality.
   • Type-II: False assumption of no causality.
Questions?